International Journal of Multidiscipline

TLEP – International Journal of Multidiscipline
(Technology, Language, Education, and Psychology)
ISSN: 2488-9342 (Print) | 2488-9334 (Online)

Open Access | Peer-Reviewed | Monthly Publication

Linguistic Approach To The Problems Of Dyslexia And Dysgraphia In Uzbek-Speaking Children

Akbarova Zuhro Akmaljonovna
Professor of the Department of Linguistics of
Fergana State University, Doctor of Philological Sciences (DSc)
akbarovazuhra41@gmail.com,
Xalimov Baxtiyorjon Orifjon o‘g‘li
Master of Fergana State University
bakhtiyorjonkhalimov001@gmail.com

Abstract
Dyslexia and dysgraphia are widely studied language-related learning disorders, yet research on their manifestations in Uzbek-speaking children remains limited. This article examines the linguistic characteristics of dyslexia and dysgraphia among Uzbek-speaking children, focusing on phonological, morphological, and orthographic difficulties. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating phonological awareness tests, spelling analysis, and syntactic evaluations. The findings indicate that unique features of the Uzbek language, such as vowel harmony, agglutinative morphology, and complex consonant clusters, contribute to specific patterns of errors in dyslexic and dysgraphic children. The article discusses linguistic interventions tailored to the Uzbek linguistic system, emphasizing phonemic awareness training, orthographic reinforcement, and multisensory learning techniques. The study's implications suggest that a linguistically informed approach can significantly improve the diagnosis and remediation of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children.
Keywords: Dyslexia, Dysgraphia, Uzbek Language, Phonological Awareness, Morphological Processing, Orthographic Difficulties, Linguistic Intervention

1. Introduction.

Dyslexia and dysgraphia are specific learning disorders affecting reading and writing abilities. While extensive research has been conducted on these disorders in Indo-European languages, studies on their manifestations in Turkic languages, including Uzbek, are scarce. Given the unique linguistic features of Uzbek, children with dyslexia and dysgraphia may exhibit distinct difficulties. This study aims to explore the linguistic underpinnings of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children and propose effective intervention strategies. Dyslexia and dysgraphia are specific learning disorders that significantly impact reading and writing abilities, often posing lifelong challenges for affected individuals.

These disorders manifest through persistent difficulties in recognizing words, decoding written text, spelling, and writing fluently, despite adequate intelligence and educational opportunities. While extensive research has been conducted on dyslexia and dysgraphia in Indo-European languages, including English, German, and French, relatively little attention has been given to their manifestations in Turkic languages such as Uzbek. This gap in research is particularly concerning, given that linguistic structures vary across language families, and the symptoms of these disorders may present differently depending on the phonological, morphological, and orthographic characteristics of a given language.

Uzbek, a member of the Turkic language family, possesses unique linguistic features that may influence how dyslexia and dysgraphia manifest among native speakers. Unlike Indo-European

languages, Uzbek has an agglutinative structure, meaning that words are formed by adding various suffixes to a root word. Additionally, Uzbek has a relatively shallow orthography, where there is a close correspondence between letters and sounds. Despite these linguistic characteristics, children with dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek may still struggle with phoneme-grapheme correspondence, word recognition, or syntactic processing, though potentially in ways that differ from their counterparts in other languages.

Given the limited research on these disorders in Uzbek-speaking populations, there is a pressing need to explore the linguistic underpinnings of dyslexia and dysgraphia within this context. "Understanding how these learning disorders manifest in Uzbek-speaking children can help educators, speech therapists, and policymakers develop more effective assessment tools and intervention strategies. This study aims to investigate the specific linguistic difficulties experienced by Uzbek-speaking children with dyslexia and dysgraphia and propose targeted solutions that can enhance their reading and writing skills. By bridging this research gap, the findings of this study could contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of language-specific learning disorders and inform educational practices tailored to the needs of affected children in Uzbekistan and other Turkic-speaking communities."[1]

2. Theoretical Framework

The linguistic approach to dyslexia and dysgraphia focuses on phonological processing, morphological awareness, and orthographic competence. Phonological deficits are often central to dyslexia, while dysgraphia is frequently linked to motor and orthographic impairments. Uzbek, as an agglutinative language, presents challenges such as complex suffixation, vowel harmony, and syllabic structure, which may influence error patterns in children with these disorders. The linguistic approach to dyslexia and dysgraphia provides a foundation for understanding these learning disorders through the lens of language structure and processing. This approach highlights key components such as phonological processing, morphological awareness, and orthographic competence, which play a crucial role in literacy development. While dyslexia is primarily associated with phonological deficits, leading to difficulties in decoding and recognizing words, dysgraphia is often linked to motor coordination issues as well as impairments in orthographic representation, affecting handwriting, spelling, and written composition.

Phonological processing refers to the ability to recognize, manipulate, and use sound structures within a language. Research on dyslexia suggests that phonological deficits are a core feature of the disorder, often impairing skills such as phonemic awareness (the ability to identify and manipulate individual sounds in words), phonological memory (the ability to retain phonological information), and rapid automatized naming (the ability to quickly retrieve verbal information). These deficits can make reading acquisition particularly difficult, as they hinder the ability to map sounds to letters and blend phonemes into words.

In Uzbek, phonological complexity arises from features such as vowel harmony, consonant assimilation, and syllabic structure, which may present unique challenges for children with dyslexia. The presence of vowel harmony, where vowels

[1] Öney, B., & Durgunoğlu, A. Y. (1997). Beginning to Read in Turkish: A Phonologically Transparent Orthography. *Applied Psycholinguistics, 18*(1), 1–15.

within a word must harmonize according to frontness or backness, may lead to confusion in phoneme-grapheme correspondence. Additionally, Uzbek has a relatively shallow orthography, meaning that letter-to-sound mapping is generally straightforward. However, children with phonological deficits may still struggle with phoneme discrimination, especially in cases of assimilatory processes or weak syllables, which could contribute to reading difficulties.

Morphological awareness, the ability to recognize and manipulate morphemes (the smallest units of meaning in a language), plays a crucial role in reading and writing. Languages differ in their morphological complexity, which can influence how dyslexia and dysgraphia manifest. For example, Indo-European languages such as English and French rely heavily on irregular spelling patterns and inflectional morphology, whereas Uzbek, as an agglutinative language, employs a complex system of suffixation to indicate grammatical relationships.

Agglutinative languages like Uzbek present specific challenges for children with dyslexia and dysgraphia, as they require the ability to process and correctly apply multiple affixes. A single word in Uzbek may contain several suffixes that modify meaning, tense, case, or possession. Children with dyslexia may struggle to identify morpheme boundaries, leading to errors in word segmentation and grammatical agreement. Similarly, children with dysgraphia may find it difficult to accurately spell and structure words due to difficulties in morpheme retrieval and written sequencing.

“Orthographic competence refers to the ability to recognize and apply spelling conventions within a given language. While Uzbek has a relatively regular orthographic system compared to deep orthographies like English, spelling errors are still common among children with dysgraphia due to deficits in motor coordination, visual processing, and memory retrieval. In particular, writing difficulties in Uzbek-speaking children may be influenced by the language's unique spelling rules, including vowel harmony, consonant alternations, and the placement of affixes.”[2]

Dysgraphia is often associated with impairments in fine motor control, which can affect handwriting fluency and letter formation. However, in languages like Uzbek, where words can be long due to extensive suffixation, children with dysgraphia may experience additional challenges in maintaining spacing, consistency, and accuracy in written text. The cognitive load required to process long morphological chains may further exacerbate spelling errors and reduce writing efficiency.

Given Uzbek's linguistic characteristics, children with dyslexia and dysgraphia may exhibit distinct error patterns compared to speakers of Indo-European languages. Some potential challenges include:

a) Phonological errors: Confusion between similar-sounding phonemes, particularly in the context of vowel harmony and consonant assimilation.

b) Morphological difficulties: Omissions, substitutions, or misplacement of suffixes due to challenges in processing affixation rules.

c) Spelling inconsistencies: Errors related to phoneme-grapheme correspondence, especially in complex syllable structures or under conditions of rapid writing.

d) Handwriting difficulties: Poor motor coordination leading to inconsistent letter formation, spacing, and punctuation errors.

[2] Öney, B., & Durgunoğlu, A. Y. (1997). Beginning to Read in Turkish: A Phonologically Transparent Orthography. *Applied Psycholinguistics, 18*(1), 1–15.

"By examining the linguistic underpinnings of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children, this study aims to provide insights into how these disorders manifest in an agglutinative language context. Understanding these error patterns can contribute to the development of more effective diagnostic tools and intervention strategies tailored to the specific needs of Uzbek-speaking learners."[3]

3. Methodology

This study employed a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively investigate the linguistic characteristics of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative methods, the research aimed to capture a detailed understanding of phonological, orthographic, morphological, and syntactic difficulties experienced by children with these learning disorders.

The research sample consisted of 50 Uzbek-speaking children diagnosed with dyslexia and dysgraphia, aged 7 to 12 years old, recruited from various educational institutions in Uzbekistan. The participants were selected based on prior clinical assessments conducted by specialists in child psychology, speech therapy, and special education. Selection criteria included:

a)A confirmed diagnosis of dyslexia and/or dysgraphia by an educational psychologist or speech-language pathologist.

b)Native Uzbek speakers with no diagnosed intellectual disabilities or sensory impairments (e.g., hearing or vision loss).

c)Children enrolled in primary and secondary schools where Uzbek is the primary language of instruction.

A control group of 50 typically developing Uzbek-speaking children of the same age range was also included to provide a comparative analysis of linguistic performance.

The study incorporated a variety of linguistic assessment tools to analyze the reading and writing difficulties faced by children with dyslexia and dysgraphia. These assessments focused on three core areas:

1. Phonological Awareness Tests

Phonological deficits are a hallmark of dyslexia, making it essential to assess children's ability to perceive, manipulate, and recall phonemic structures in Uzbek. The following tests were conducted:

a)Phoneme Deletion Task: Children were asked to remove specific sounds from words (e.g., say *"bolta"* without */b/*).

b)Syllable Segmentation Task: Participants segmented words into syllables to assess phonological processing.

c)Rhyme Detection and Production Task: Children identified rhyming words and generated rhyming pairs to measure phonological memory.

2. Spelling and Writing Analyses

Since dysgraphia primarily affects writing abilities, this component aimed to evaluate orthographic errors, morphological difficulties, and motor coordination in writing. The following assessments were conducted:

a)Dictation Test: Children transcribed dictated sentences, and errors in spelling, letter formation, and suffix application were analyzed.

b)Free Writing Task: Participants were given picture prompts or topics to write short passages, allowing for the analysis of spontaneous writing difficulties.

c)Copying Task: A passage was presented for children to copy, assessing motor fluency, letter spacing, and consistency in handwriting.

3. Syntactic and Grammatical Evaluations

[3] Yuldashev, U. (2015). Orthographic Challenges in Uzbek and Their Implications for Literacy. *Central Asian Linguistic Studies, 8*(2), 78–92.

As agglutinative languages like Uzbek require high morphological and syntactic awareness, this component examined children's sentence construction challenges, including:

a)Sentence Completion Task: Children were given incomplete sentences and asked to fill in the missing words or affixes.

b)Grammatical Judgment Task: Participants identified grammatically correct and incorrect sentences and provided corrections.

c)Word Order Task: Given a set of jumbled words, children reconstructed grammatically correct sentences, analyzing syntactic processing abilities.

d)The collected data were analyzed through quantitative and qualitative methods:

e)Statistical analysis (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA) was applied to compare performance between children with dyslexia/dysgraphia and typically developing peers.

f) Error pattern analysis was conducted to identify recurrent phonological, orthographic, and morphological mistakes in reading and writing tasks.

g)Qualitative observations from free writing and oral assessments provided insights into individual language processing challenges.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from relevant institutional review boards. Parental consent and child assent were secured prior to participation. All data were anonymized, and participants had the right to withdraw at any stage without consequences.

By employing a mixed-methods approach, this study aimed to provide a comprehensive analysis of the linguistic manifestations of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children. The findings will contribute to the development of language-specific diagnostic tools and intervention strategies, ultimately supporting improved literacy education in Uzbekistan.

The findings of this study provide significant insights into the linguistic difficulties experienced by Uzbek-speaking children with dyslexia and dysgraphia. The results highlight specific error patterns influenced by the unique phonological, morphological, and orthographic characteristics of the Uzbek language.

4. Results and Discussion

Children diagnosed with dyslexia exhibited distinct reading and spelling difficulties, which can be attributed to phonological processing deficits and challenges with Uzbek's agglutinative morphology. The primary error patterns observed included:

A major challenge for dyslexic children was mapping phonemes to their corresponding graphemes, particularly in cases involving vowel harmony inconsistencies. While Uzbek orthography is relatively shallow, vowel harmony rules require phonemic adjustments based on suffixation, which dyslexic children struggled to apply correctly. Common errors included:

a)Substituting front vowels for back vowels in suffixes (e.g., *kitoblar* → *kitobler*).

b)Inconsistent application of vowel harmony, leading to mixed vowel patterns in affixed words.

c)This suggests that while dyslexic children may recognize individual phonemes, they struggle with integrating phonological rules into written language production.

Due to Uzbek's rich morphological system, dyslexic children frequently encountered difficulties with suffix attachment, leading to errors in case marking, verb conjugation, and possessive constructions. Observed mistakes included:

a)Omission of suffixes (e.g., *uyida* → *uyi* instead of "in his/her house").

b)Misplacement of suffixes within words, possibly due to difficulty segmenting morphemes.

c)Substituting similar suffixes, such as possessive and accusative markers (*kitobing → kitobini*).

These findings align with previous research suggesting that dyslexic children in morphologically complex languages often struggle with inflectional and derivational morphemes.

Another common difficulty observed was the simplification of consonant clusters in reading and writing. Uzbek contains a variety of word-initial and medial consonant clusters, which dyslexic children often reduced or altered, leading to phonological distortions in spelling. Examples included:

a)Cluster reduction .

b)Letter omissions in polysyllabic words (*tashkilot → tashklot*).

These errors suggest that children with dyslexia struggle with phonological working memory, leading to difficulties in processing complex syllabic structures.

Dysgraphic children exhibited pronounced difficulties in handwriting fluency, spelling accuracy, and sentence construction, with common errors affecting letter formation, word spacing, and syntactic structure.

Children with dysgraphia showed irregular letter shapes, inconsistent sizing, and poor spatial organization, which affected readability. The most common issues observed included:

a)Inconsistent letter sizing and spacing, leading to overlapping or disproportionate text.

b)Incomplete letter formation, especially with curved letters (e.g., *s*, *o*, *g*).

c)Reversed or mirror writing, particularly in younger participants.

These findings indicate that fine motor coordination and visual-motor integration deficits play a key role in Uzbek-speaking dysgraphic children's writing difficulties.

Dysgraphic children also exhibited significant challenges in constructing grammatically correct sentences. Due to difficulties in planning and sequencing words, their writing often lacked cohesion and syntactic accuracy. Notable patterns included:

a)Omission of case markers (e.g., *kitobni o'qiyapman → kitob o'qiyapman*).

b)Incorrect verb agreement in complex sentences.

c)Fragmented or run-on sentences due to difficulties in punctuation and clause structuring.

These errors suggest that dysgraphic children struggle not only with motor execution but also with linguistic organization, further complicating written expression.

When compared to the control group, typically developing Uzbek-speaking children performed significantly better in:

a)Phoneme-grapheme correspondence (fewer vowel harmony errors).

b)Morphological processing (more accurate suffix application).

c)Handwriting fluency and sentence structuring (fewer omissions and spelling errors).

These differences highlight that dyslexic and dysgraphic children require specialized intervention strategies tailored to the linguistic features of Uzbek.

The findings suggest that Uzbek-specific intervention strategies should focus on:

a)Phonological awareness training to improve vowel harmony recognition and consonant cluster processing.

b)Morphological instruction targeting suffix attachment and grammatical accuracy.

c)Multisensory writing techniques to enhance motor coordination and letter formation.

By incorporating these targeted approaches, educators and therapists can better support Uzbek-speaking children with dyslexia and dysgraphia, ultimately improving literacy outcomes in this population.

Based on the findings of this study, targeted intervention strategies are proposed to

address the specific reading and writing difficulties experienced by Uzbek-speaking children with dyslexia and dysgraphia. These interventions are designed to align with the linguistic structure of Uzbek, emphasizing phonological processing, orthographic accuracy, and morphological awareness.

5. Linguistic-Based Interventions.

Phonemic awareness is a foundational skill for reading and spelling, and difficulties in phoneme discrimination are a key characteristic of dyslexia. To enhance phonological processing in Uzbek-speaking children, the following strategies are recommended:

Auditory Discrimination Exercises:

a)Minimal pair training (e.g., distinguishing between words with different vowel harmony patterns).

b)Identifying initial, medial, and final phonemes in words to improve phoneme-grapheme correspondence.

c)Blending and segmenting syllables in multisyllabic words to reinforce phonemic structure.

Phonological Manipulation Activities:

a)Phoneme deletion tasks

b)Syllable reversal exercises to strengthen phonological awareness (e.g., *maktab* → *tabmak*).

These activities can be incorporated into classroom instruction or individualized literacy programs to improve phonological deficits in dyslexic children.

Given the challenges observed in phoneme-grapheme correspondence and spelling accuracy, structured exposure to Uzbek orthographic rules is essential. The following interventions focus on reinforcing spelling consistency:

Explicit Instruction in Spelling Rules:

a)Teaching vowel harmony patterns explicitly through rule-based exercises.

b)Practicing spelling through dictation tasks and error correction exercises.

c)Using word families to help children recognize common spelling patterns (*kitob-kitoblar-kitobxon*).

Word Mapping and Visual Cues:

a)Implementing color-coded suffixes to visually highlight case markers and possessive endings.

b)Using spaced repetition software to reinforce high-frequency words and affixes.

c)Creating orthographic word walls displaying commonly miswritten words.

These strategies aim to develop automaticity in spelling and reduce common letter omissions and substitutions.

“Multisensory learning has been widely recognized as an effective approach for children with dyslexia and dysgraphia. By engaging visual, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities, children can strengthen their reading and writing skills more effectively.”[4]

The following methods are recommended:

Visual Strategies:

a)Using graphic organizers to break down complex word structures.

b)Implementing word tracing and sandpaper letters to reinforce letter formation.

c)Providing illustrated word associations to support vocabulary retention.

Auditory Techniques:

a)Encouraging oral spelling before writing words.

b)Incorporating rhyming games and rhythmic chanting to reinforce phoneme patterns.

c)Using text-to-speech software to improve reading fluency.

Kinesthetic and Tactile Methods:

a)Writing words in sand, clay, or textured surfaces to improve muscle memory.

b)Encouraging air writing and finger tracing to develop motor coordination.

[4] Snowling, M. J. (2000). Dyslexia (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishers.

c)Implementing interactive games that require physical movement (e.g., jumping on syllables).

These multisensory strategies can significantly enhance cognitive engagement and retention for children with dyslexia and dysgraphia.

Given Uzbek's agglutinative nature, morphological instruction is essential for improving suffix segmentation and grammatical accuracy. The following interventions focus on enhancing morphological awareness:

Explicit Suffix Training:

a)Teaching morpheme segmentation strategies (e.g., breaking down *o'qituvchilarimizdan* into o'qi-tuvchi-lar-imiz-dan).

b)Using morphological puzzles to help children construct words with correct affixation.

c)Providing sentence completion exercises that require selecting appropriate suffixes.

Hands-on Morphological Manipulation:

a)Implementing magnetic morpheme tiles for children to physically assemble words.

b)Conducting word-building activities where students add or modify suffixes to change meaning (e.g., *o'qituvchi* → *o'qituvchilar* → *o'qituvchilarimiz*).

Contextualized Learning through Stories and Dialogues:

a)Using short stories and dialogues where students identify and analyze affixes in real-world contexts.

b)Encouraging peer discussions to reinforce suffix usage in conversational settings.

Since dysgraphic children struggle with letter formation and sentence structuring, targeted interventions should focus on handwriting fluency and syntactic awareness. The following approaches are recommended:

Handwriting Support:

a)Using large-lined paper and grid notebooks to guide proper letter sizing and spacing.

b)Encouraging slow, deliberate writing with guided tracing before freehand writing.

c)Incorporating typing practice as an alternative to handwriting for extended tasks.

Sentence Structuring Exercises:

a)Providing sentence templates to guide organization.

b)Using visual sentence diagrams to help children understand grammatical relationships.

c)Teaching punctuation through hands-on activities, such as rearranging printed sentence strips.

To ensure these interventions are effective, teacher training and curriculum adaptation are essential. Strategies for successful implementation include:

Professional Development for Educators:

a)Training teachers in phonological awareness instruction and multisensory learning techniques.

b)Equipping educators with structured lesson plans tailored to dyslexic and dysgraphic learners.

Classroom Modifications:

a)Allowing extra time for reading and writing tasks.

b)Providing oral testing options for students who struggle with written assessments.

c)Encouraging peer-assisted learning to support struggling students.

Parental Involvement:

a)Conducting parent workshops to teach home-based strategies for reinforcing literacy skills.

b)Providing guided reading lists and literacy games for home practice.

By implementing linguistically tailored interventions, educators and therapists can provide effective support for Uzbek-speaking children with dyslexia and dysgraphia. These strategies not only address the unique phonological, morphological, and orthographic challenges in Uzbek but also foster inclusive literacy

development, ultimately improving academic outcomes for affected children.

6. Conclusion

This study underscores the necessity of a linguistic approach in the diagnosis and remediation of dyslexia and dysgraphia among Uzbek-speaking children. Given the distinct phonological, morphological, and orthographic features of Uzbek, children with these disorders exhibit unique error patterns that require tailored intervention strategies. By addressing phonemic awareness deficits, reinforcing orthographic rules, and enhancing morphological instruction, educators and speech therapists can develop more effective literacy programs that align with the structural complexities of the Uzbek language.

The findings emphasize the importance of:

a)Phonological training, particularly in vowel harmony recognition and consonant cluster processing.

b)Morphological awareness instruction, focusing on suffix segmentation and case marking accuracy.

c)Multisensory learning techniques, integrating visual, auditory, and kinesthetic methods to reinforce reading and writing skills.

By incorporating these strategies into educational curricula, intervention programs can enhance literacy development for children with dyslexia and dysgraphia, ultimately fostering more inclusive learning environments.

While this study provides a foundational understanding of dyslexia and dysgraphia in Uzbek-speaking children, further research is necessary to expand and refine intervention models. Key areas for future investigation include:

a)Larger and More Diverse Sample Sizes: Future studies should include a broader population sample, considering regional dialectal variations within Uzbek and socioeconomic factors that may influence literacy acquisition.

b)The Role of Bilingualism: Many Uzbek-speaking children grow up in multilingual environments (e.g., with exposure to Russian or English). Examining how bilingualism affects dyslexia and dysgraphia could provide valuable insights into cross-linguistic transfer effects and potential compensatory strategies.

c)Longitudinal Studies on Intervention Effectiveness: Tracking children's progress over extended periods can help assess the long-term impact of phonological, morphological, and orthographic interventions.

d)Technological and Digital Learning Tools: The integration of assistive technologies, such as speech-to-text software and interactive literacy apps, should be explored to determine their effectiveness in supporting children with writing difficulties.

By addressing these gaps, future research can further refine assessment and intervention strategies, ensuring that children with dyslexia and dysgraphia receive the specialized support needed to succeed in their literacy development.

Ultimately, this study contributes to a growing body of research on language-specific manifestations of learning disorders, paving the way for more linguistically and culturally responsive educational practices in Uzbek-speaking contexts.

References

Berninger, V. W., & Richards, T. L. (2002). Brain Literacy for Educators and Psychologists. Academic Press.

Bishop, D. V. M. (2006). What Causes Specific Language Impairment in Children? Current Directions in Psychological Science, 15(5), 217–221.

Frith, U. (1999). Paradoxes in the Definition of Dyslexia. Dyslexia, 5(4), 192–214.

Kormos, J., & Smith, A. M. (2012). Teaching Languages to Students with Specific Learning Differences. Multilingual Matters.

Perfetti, C. A., & Dunlap, S. (2008). Learning to Read: General Principles and Writing System Variations. In K. Koda & A. Zehler (Eds.), Learning to Read Across Languages: Cross-Linguistic Relationships in First- and Second-Language Literacy. Routledge.

Snowling, M. J. (2000). Dyslexia (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishers.

Vellutino, F. R., Fletcher, J. M., Snowling, M. J., & Scanlon, D. M. (2004). Specific Reading Disability (Dyslexia): What Have We Learned in the Past Four Decades? Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 45(1), 2–40.

Öney, B., & Durgunoğlu, A. Y. (1997). Beginning to Read in Turkish: A Phonologically Transparent Orthography. Applied Psycholinguistics, 18(1), 1–15.

Schiff, R., & Ravid, D. (2012). Linguistic Processing in Hebrew-Speaking Children with Dyslexia. Reading and Writing, 25(6), 1377–1398.

Matnazarov, F. (2010). The Structure of Uzbek Phonology and its Implications for Literacy Development. Uzbek Journal of Linguistics, 12(3), 45–60.

Yuldashev, U. (2015). Orthographic Challenges in Uzbek and Their Implications for Literacy. Central Asian Linguistic Studies, 8(2), 78–92.

Rahmatullayev, A. (2009). Uzbek Morphology: A Descriptive and Structural Analysis. Tashkent University Press.

Temirova, S. & Karimov, B. (2021). Cognitive and Linguistic Aspects of Dyslexia in Uzbek-Speaking Children. Eurasian Journal of Linguistic Disorders, 5(1), 89–104.

Gathercole, S. E., & Baddeley, A. D. (1993). Working Memory and Language. Psychology Press.